



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

08/602,503

02/20/1996

MICHAEL B. BALL

2718US

4539

7590

09/21/2004

JOSEPH A WALKOWSKI
TRASK BRITT & ROSSA
PO BOX 2550
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, DILINH P

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2814

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

08/602,503

Applicant(s)

BALL, MICHAEL B.

Examiner

DiLinh Nguyen

Art Unit

2814

AW

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 June 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 19, 21-23 and 25-34 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 19, 21-23, 25, 27 and 29-34 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 26 and 28 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 19 is objected to because of the following informalities:

In lines 7-8 of claim 19, replace "conductive epoxy adhesive" with –electrically conductive epoxy adhesive--.

In line 9 of claim 19, replace "conductive epoxy adhesive" with –electrically conductive epoxy adhesive--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wood et al. (U.S. Pat. 5851845) in view of Kaiser (U.S. Pat. 5281846).

Wood et al. disclose a method of fabricating a multi-die assembly, comprising:
providing a substrate 26 including a plurality of conductors 28;
attaching at least one active face down base die 12B to the substrate in electrical communication with at least some of the plurality of conductors;
providing a layer of adhesive 22 to a back side of the at least one base die;
placing a back side of at least one active face up stack die 12t on the layer of adhesive 22 (figs. 4 and 5, column 4, lines 59-64);

curing the layer of adhesive (column 4, lines 13-19) and securing the back side of at least one stack die to the at least one base die (figs. 4-5, column 4, lines 57-64).

Wood et al. fail to disclose the adhesive layer is an electrically conductive epoxy adhesive and providing a direct electrical path between the dice.

Kaiser discloses a method of fabricating a multi-die assembly, comprising:

providing a base die 14;

providing a stack die 22;

providing an electrically conductive adhesive 20 (fig. 1, column 2, lines 55-56) is between the base die and the stack die;

providing a direct electrical path between the at least one stack die and base die (column 2, lines 27-32);

electrically grounding the at least one base die via the layer of electrically conductive adhesive and the at least one stack die (fig. 1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device structure of Wood et al. to provide an electrical connection between the chips (column 2, lines 28-30), as shown by Kaiser.

3. Claims 21-23, 25, 27, 29 and 33-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wood et al. (U.S. Pat. 5851845) in view of Kaiser (U.S. Pat. 5281846) and further in view of Fogal et al. (U.S. Pat. 5323060).

Wood et al. and Kaiser fail to disclose at least one discrete component to at least one of the stack die, the base die or the substrate.

Fogal et al. disclose a multichip module (fig. 5, column 3, lines 43 et seq.) comprising:

a discrete component 75 to the substrate 12;
a discrete components 76 and 78 to an adhesive layer 77 to an upper uppermost chip 85; and

a bond wires 44a, 44b, and 79-81, wherein the bond wires bonding to the substrate and the chips. Fogal et al. show that discrete components can be added, while it is not specifically pointed out, the discrete component could include a filter (by pass) capacitor (column 3, line 53) which is needed for proper device operation and is not normally formed as part of a chip. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Wood et al. and Kaiser to provide additional necessary components.

- Regarding claim 22, Fogal et al. disclose extending a component to substrate bond wire 79/44b between the at least one discrete component 76/75 and at least one of the plurality of substrate conductors (fig. 5).
- Regarding claim 23, Fogal et al. disclose a multi-chip semiconductor (fig. 1, column 2, lines 35 et seq.) comprising: securing at least another stack die 54 to the assembly and electrically connecting the at least another stack die and at least one of the plurality of substrate conductors.
- Regarding claim 25, Fogal et al. disclose securing the at least another stack die 54 to the at least one stack die 28.

- Regarding claim 27, Fogal et al. disclose securing at least one discrete component 76/78 to at least one stack die and extending a component to substrate bond wire 79 between the at least one discrete component and at least one of the plurality of substrate conductors.
 - Regarding claim 29, Fogal et al. disclose securing at least one discrete component to the at least one base die, and extending a component to substrate bond wire 79/44b between the at least one discrete component and at least one of the plurality of substrate conductors.
 - Regarding claim 33, Fogal et al. disclose securing at least one discrete component to the substrate; and extending a die to component bond wire between the at least one stack die and the at least one discrete component.
 - Regarding claim 34, Fogal et al. disclose extending a die to component bond wire 79/44b between the at least one discrete component and at least one of the plurality of substrate conductors.
4. Claims 30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wood et al. (U.S. Pat. 5851845) in view of Kaiser (U.S. Pat. 5281846) and further in view of Rostoker (U.S. Pat. 5399898).

Wood et al. and Kaiser disclose the claimed invention except for not further disclose the face down base die includes attaching at least two active face down base die to the substrate.

Rostoker discloses the attaching at least one active face down base die includes attaching at least two active face down base die 404 and 410 (fig. 4a, column 14, lines

Art Unit: 2814

40 et seq.) to the substrate 402 and electrically coupling each of the base die with one of the plurality substrate conductors 406 and 412; a bridging 416 at least one stack die between the two base die; and further comprising securing at least another stack die over the at least one stack die (fig. 3b). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Wood et al. and Kaiser to provide a greater power dissipation and a natural convection cooling channel and design flexibility in mounting semiconductor devices, as shown by Rostoker.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 26 and 28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DiLinh Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1712. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM - 6:00PM (M-F).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on (571) 272-1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2814

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DLN

Wael Fahmy
SPC 2814